

U.S. Army Environmental Center

CONTRACT NO. DAAA15-90-D-0017 Delivery Order 0002

DOCUMENT No. SFIM-AEC-ER-CR-98037

FINAL
DECISION DOCUMENT FOR
THE RAVINES AND BEACH AREA STUDY AREAS
OF THE SURPLUS OPERABLE UNIT
FORT SHERIDAN, ILLINOIS

October 12, 1998

Distribution unlimited, approved for public release

19990129 102

Prepared for:

U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER
Base Closure Division
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5401

Prepared by: QST Environmental Inc. 11665 Lilburn Park Road St. Louis, Missouri 63146-3535

DTIC QUALITY INCRECTED 1

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of Information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 2202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

				
1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES CO Decision Document, 9/98	
	TITLE AND SUBTITLE Final Decision Document for Ray Fort Sheridan, Illinois	vines and Beach Area Study Ar		5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAAA15-90-D-0017 Delivery Order 0002
	AUTHOR(S) Craig Campbell and Deborah Mo	Kinley		
	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S QST Environmental Inc. 11665 Lilburn Park Road St. Louis, Missouri 63146) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 490-2087-1100
]	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NU.S. Army Environmental Center Base Closure Division Attn: SFIM-AEC-BCA Edgewood Area Building E-4480 Aberdeen Proving Ground, Mary	r		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER SFIM-AEC-ER-CR-98037
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a	Distribution unlimited. Approx			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13.	This Decision Document (DD) (OU), Fort Sheridan, Illinois. Beach Area study areas of the S for the ravines and Beach Area health or ecological risks are as necessary at the ravines and Beach	The ravines are Janes Ravine a Surplus OU. The DD presents study areas. The baseline risk sociated with the ravines and E ach Area study areas for the present and legal basis for the determines.	nd Hutchinson Ravine. This is the Army's determination that assessment determined that no leach Area study areas. There otection of human health and ation that No Response Action	DD addresses only the ravines and t No Response Action is necessary to unacceptable potential human refore, No Response Action is the environment. The Decision
14.	SUBJECT TERMS		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
	Fort Sheridan, Ravines and Bea Document	16. PRICE CODE		
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
	Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	1111

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE PROGRAM

Final Decision Document for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit Fort Sheridan, Illinois

Prepared for:

U.S. Army Environmental Center
Edgewood Area
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5401

Prepared by: QST Environmental Inc. Williamston, Michigan St. Louis, Missouri

October 12, 1998

QST Project No. 490-2087-1100

In accordance with Army Regulation 200-2, this document is intended by the Army to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

DECLARATION

Determination of No Response Action for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit Fort Sheridan, Illinois

Site Name and Location

This Decision Document (DD) has been prepared for the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus Operable Unit (OU), Fort Sheridan, Illinois. The ravines are Janes Ravine and Hutchinson Ravine. This DD addresses only the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU. Remedy selection for the other Surplus OU study areas were addressed under separate DDs or will be addressed in future DDs. The content of this DD is based on recommendations in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Interim Final Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Documents (USEPA, 1989) and the USEPA Guide to Developing Superfund No Action, Interim Action, and Contingency Remedy ROD's (USEPA, 1991).

Statement and Basis of Purpose

This DD presents the determination that No Response Action is necessary for the ravines and Beach Area study areas, chosen in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). This DD explains the factual and legal basis for the determination that No Response Action is necessary for the ravines and Beach Area study areas. The information supporting this No Response Action decision is contained in the Administrative Record for the Surplus OU. The Administrative Record Index is located in Appendix A.

Description of the No Response Action Determination

The Army has determined that No Response Action is necessary for the ravines and Beach Area study areas. The baseline risk assessment (BRA) determined that no unacceptable potential human health or ecological risks are associated with the ravines and Beach Area study areas. Therefore, No Response Action is necessary at the ravines and Beach Area study areas for the protection of human health and the environment.

Declaration

No Response Action is necessary in order to ensure protection of human health and the environment at the ravines and Beach Area study areas under the future land use scenario of open space. The physical site characteristics, along with the mandated transfer of the property to the Lake County Forest Preserve District in the legislation adopted in Section 125 of the Fiscal Year 1966 Military Construction Appropriations Act (P.L. 104-32), will limit future use of these study areas to open space.

Lead Agency Acceptance of No Response Action Decision Document Fort Sheridan

Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus OU

Signature sheet for the No Response Action Decision Document for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus OU at Fort Sheridan by the U.S. Army. Concurrence letters from the State of Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency are provided in Appendix B.

Roy L. Higgins

Colonel, U.S. Army

Commanding Officer, Fort McCoy

Table of Contents

Sect	on Paş	zе
1.0	Site Name, Location, and Description	1
2.0	Site History and Enforcement Actions	3
3.0	Highlights of Community Participation	6
4.0	Scope and Role of Response Action	7
5.0	Summary of Site Characteristics 5.1 Janes Ravine 5.2 Hutchinson Ravine 5.3 Beach Area	8 9
6.0	Summary of Site Risks 6.1 Human Health Risk Summary 6.2 Ecological Risk Summary	12
7.0	Description of the No Response Action Determination	20
8.0	Documentation of Significant Changes	21
9.0	References	22

Table of Contents (continued)

	List of Tables Page
Table 6-1	Constituents of Potential Concern for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas 15
Table 6-2	Summary of Potential Human Health Risks
Table 6-3	Summary of Potential Risks to Ecological Receptors
	List of Figures
Figure 1-1	Fort Sheridan Operable Units and the Ravine and Beach Area Study Areas 2
	List of Appendices
Appendix A	Administrative Record Index
Appendix B	Letters of Support Agency Concurrence

Table of Contents (continued)

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

ANL Argonne National Laboratory

B172 Building 172

BRA Baseline Risk Assessment

BRAC Base Realignment and Closure

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

COPCs constituents of potential concern

CSA coal storage area
DD Decision Document
DoD Department of Defense
ft-bgs feet below ground surface

HI hazard index

IEPA Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

LF2 Landfill 2

MDL method detection limit

OU Operable Unit

PAHs polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
POL petroleum, oils, and lubricants

RAGS Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund RI/FS Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study

SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

SARN Small Arms Range North

SVOCs semi-volatile organic compounds

USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

UXO unexploded ordnance

1.0 Site Name, Location, and Description


Fort Sheridan lies along the western shore of Lake Michigan and is bounded by the towns of Highwood to the west, Highland Park to the south, and Lake Forest to the north. Fort Sheridan covers an area of approximately 712 acres. The land occupied by Fort Sheridan is approximately 50 feet above Lake Michigan. The topography is relatively flat and gently sloping toward Lake Michigan. The lake side of the installation terminates in a bluff or embankment which extends the full length of the boundary and beyond.

Janes Ravine runs east to west along the northern boundary of Fort Sheridan. The ravine itself is relatively undisturbed and does not contain obvious sources of potential contamination (e.g., filled areas). Portions of this ravine do bound several other study areas, and stormwater runoff from these other study areas flows through the ravine.

Hutchinson Ravine runs east to west across the center of the Surplus OU. As with Janes Ravine, with the exception of the water treatment facility and Landfill 2 (LF2) in the small northern arm, the ravine is relatively undisturbed and does not exhibit any obvious sources of potential contaminants. Portions of this ravine do bound several other study areas, and stormwater runoff from these other study areas flows through the ravine.

The Beach Area is located on the eastern edge of the Surplus OU, starting at the base of the bluffs along Lake Michigan to approximately 10 feet out into the lake. Available information indicated that prior activities at the study area included the possible burning of off-specification munitions. In addition, the area may have been an occasional or accidental impact area for the former trap range and artillery firing points. The Beach Area was also identified as a potential unexploded ordnance (UXO) area.


In 1988, the Commission on Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommended Fort Sheridan, Illinois for closure to the Secretary of Defense. To support decisions regarding preparation of the property for release, the Department of the Army has implemented environmental studies and will conduct restoration activities (if needed) before property transfer. The Army is conducting these activities under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program and the BRAC program. A remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) is currently being conducted for the Surplus OU at Fort Sheridan. The Surplus OU consists of property that has been declared excess by the Army and will be or has been transferred to the local communities. Hutchinson Ravine, Janes Ravine, and the Beach Area study areas are located within the Surplus OU (Figure 1-1). They have been segregated out from the Surplus OU in order to expedite the activities required to transfer this property. This Decision Document (DD) addresses only the aforementioned ravines and Beach Area study areas. A separate DD will be issued for the remaining portions of the Surplus OU [i.e., LF2, Small Arms Range North (SARN), and 38-Acre Parcel Fill Area].






JCF 06/22/98 Revised JCF 07/20/98 490-2087 FSODRSAL Installation information adapted from an aerial survey by Air Survey Corporation, Sterling, Virginia. Date of photography, 12/6, Ravines, shoreline and roads north of Installation adapted from USGS 7.5' topographic quadrangle, Highland Park, Ill., 1963; p

LAKE MICHIGAN





hotography, 12/6/95.
Park, III., 1963; photo revised 1972 & 1980.

Figure 1-1 Fort Sheridan Operable Units and the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas

Draft Decision Document for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit Fort Sheridan, Illinois

2.0 Site History and Enforcement Actions

Fort Sheridan is located approximately 25 miles north of Chicago along the western shore of Lake Michigan. The installation location is shown in Figure 1-1. Fort Sheridan, named for General Phil Sheridan, was established in 1887 in the wake of the Great Chicago fire of 1871 and at the request of Chicago city leaders following the labor riots of 1886.

In the mid-1800s, prior to the Army's presence, the area of Fort Sheridan was the site of heavy industry including logging, a lumber mill, leather tanning, brick making, and iron casting. Historians have asserted that, due to its industrial past and lack of railroad access, the property may have represented more of a liability than an asset to the owners from a development perspective. Furthermore, they have opined that the property was essentially "donated" to the Army so the federal government could deal with "the two mile stretch of lakefront and its deteriorating residue of abandoned industries" (Melichar, 1995). Nevertheless, land was donated to the government for a token fee of \$10 by three members of the Commercial Club of Chicago: Adolphus Bartlett, Charles Hutchinson, and John Janes. Three ravines at Fort Sheridan are named for these individuals.

Troops trained at Fort Sheridan served in the Spanish-American War in 1898, the Mexican War in 1913, and World Wars I and II. Fort Sheridan was a training center for anti-aircraft artillery units during World War II. From the 1950s until 1974, Fort Sheridan served as maintenance and supply center to NIKE air-defense missile systems for the Chicago, Gary, Detroit, Minneapolis-St. Paul, and Milwaukee air-defense network.

Fort Sheridan was recommended for inclusion in the BRAC program in 1988. The installation ceased military operations as an Army facility in 1993. Portions of the installation were realigned to the U.S. Navy and U.S. Army Reserve. Approximately 100 acres are now owned by the U.S. Army Reserve and are used for equipment storage and disbursement, training, and administrative functions. Approximately 200 acres are now owned by the Navy and are used for family housing, administration, vehicle maintenance, communications, and training. Approximately 300 acres have been transferred to private ownership while the remainder of the installation (approximately 100 acres) is still under Army jurisdiction and will be transferred to private ownership upon completion of the environmental restoration activities.

Preliminary assessments of Fort Sheridan, conducted in 1982 and 1989, identified several areas on the installation affected by previous landfilling activities; storage and handling of petroleum, oils, and lubricants (POL), as well as other motor pool wastes; former coal storage areas (CSAs); and storage and handling of various chemicals [Gross et al., 1982; Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), 1989]. The nature and duration of these activities at Fort Sheridan justified conducting an RI/FS to verify and quantify the nature and extent of associated chemical constituents in the environment, perform human

health and environmental risk assessments, and evaluate remedial action alternatives leading to individual study area response actions, if necessary.

Fort Sheridan was divided into two principal OUs in 1995 to facilitate the implementation of the subsequent RI/FS and expedite the reuse of surplus Army property under the BRAC program. The first OU, designated the Surplus OU, consisted of property still owned by the U.S. Army and planned for disposal and reuse. This area occupies the north end of Fort Sheridan and is primarily composed of the golf course and historic district. The second OU is designated the Department of Defense (DoD) OU since this area remains the property of the Navy and Army Reserves. It includes most of the area to the south of Bartlett Ravine and the Army Reserve area in the northwest corner of Fort Sheridan. The boundaries of the two OUs are indicated in Figure 1-1.

A three-phase RI was conducted at the ravines and Beach Area study areas from 1990 to 1996. Subsequent to the completion of the Phase III field work, the ravines and Beach Area study areas were segregated out from the Surplus OU to expedite the reuse of this property. The ravines and Beach Area study areas are indicated in Figure 1-1.

The Phase I RI was conducted at Fort Sheridan from 1990 through 1992. Data collected and analyzed during this initial phase of the RI work at Fort Sheridan addressed 37 study areas. The portion of the Phase I field effort specific to the ravines and Beach Area study areas consisted of UXO sweeps at the Beach Area to clear areas for sampling. Soil borings and monitoring wells were also completed at the Beach Area during Phase I. Water levels in some of the deeper wells are consistently artesian. Soil samples were collected from several of the soil borings that were subsequently converted to nested well pairs. In addition, surface water and sediment samples were collected at the Lake Michigan outfalls of Janes Ravine, Hutchinson Ravine, the Airport Drain, and a small unnamed outfall near Hutchinson Ravine.

Prior to Phase II field activities, background soil, sediment, surface water, and groundwater data were collected from several locations selected by the BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) believed to be previously unaffected by Fort Sheridan mission-related activities. The background samples were collected to facilitate the development of a statistically defensible background database.

During the Phase II RI field effort, additional UXO avoidance surveys were conducted to clear areas for sampling at the Beach Area. Two soil borings were completed on the beach and two sediment samples were collected in Lake Michigan. In addition, surface water and sediment samples were collected from Janes and Hutchinson Ravines.

During the Phase III RI field effort, surface water and sediment samples were collected from Janes Ravine, Hutchinson Ravine, and Boles Loop Drain to support the ecological baseline risk assessment (BRA). The ecological sampling program consisted of sediment, surface water, and animal tissue

sampling. Sediment toxicity testing was conducted on the aquatic invertebrates Hyalella azteca (H. azteca) and Lumbriculus variegatus (L. variegtus). In addition, groundwater acute toxicity tests were conducted on fathead minnows [Pimephales promelas (P. promelas)].

3.0 Highlights of Community Participation

The RI/BRA and Proposed Plan for the ravines and Beach Area study areas became final in April and June 1998, respectively. These documents are available to the public as part of the full Administrative Record File that is maintained at the Fort Sheridan BRAC Office, Building 379. The information repositories contain information similar to that contained in the Administrative Record, but are more focused on public information needs. The following facilities have been designated as information repositories:

Highwood Public Library

102 Highwood Avenue

Lake Forest Library

360 East Deerpath

Highwood, Illinois 60040 Lake Forest, Illinois 60045

Phone: 847/432-5404 Phone: 847/234-0636

Hours: Mon.-Thurs. 11:00 am - 7:00 pm Hours: Mon.-Thurs. 9:00 am - 9:00pm Fri. & Sat. 10:00 am - 5:30 pm Fri. & Sat. 9:00 am - 5:00pm

Sunday Closed Sunday Closed

Highland Park Public Library Fort Sheridan BRAC Office*

494 Laurel Avenue Building 379

Highland Park, Illinois 60035 Fort Sheridan, Illinois 60037-1289

Phone: 847/432-0216 Phone: 847/266-2907

Hours: Mon.-Thurs. 9:00 am - 9:00 pm Hours: Mon.-Fri. 8:30 am - 5:00 pm

Fri. 9:00 am - 6:00 pm

Sat. 9:00 am - 5:00 pm * Location of Administrative Record Sunday Closed

The notice of availability of these documents was published on June 11, 1998. A public comment period was held from June 11, 1998 to July 10, 1998. In addition, a public information session was held on June 25, 1998. At this meeting, representatives from the Army, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) were available to address questions and receive comments about the No Response Action alternative under consideration. No requests for an extension were received. No comments were received during the public comment period.

4.0 Scope and Role of Response Action

This DD addresses the final remedy for the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU. Based on the evaluation of potential risks considering a future open space use scenario, the Army, in coordination with USEPA and IEPA, has determined that the constituents present at the ravines and Beach Area study areas do not pose sufficient risk to require a response action and has determined that no response action is necessary. Although low levels of constituents will remain in the sediments and surface water, they are present at levels that do not pose unacceptable human health or environmental risks.

Existing site conditions (the fact that these study areas are ravines or a narrow beach area), in combination with future use plans of the Lake County Forest Preserve District, make it highly unlikely that residential development would occur in the ravines or on the Beach Area. The legislation adopted in Section 125 of the Fiscal Year 1966 Military Construction Appropriations Act (P.L. 104-32) requires the Army to convey approximately 290 acres of open space, including the golf course, to the Lake County Forest Preserve District for use as open space. The ravines and Beach Area study areas are located entirely within the 290 acres to be transferred to the Lake County Forest Preserve District and, therefore, will be used as open space in the future.

In keeping with the overall response strategy, the recommended remedial action for the ravines and Beach Area study areas is No Response Action.

5.0 Summary of Site Characteristics

5.1 Janes Ravine

Janes Ravine is the northernmost ravine on Fort Sheridan and is among the least disturbed of the major ravines dissecting Fort Sheridan. Its eastern end forms the northern installation boundary as it joins Lake Michigan. The ravine bifurcates and the northern arm is not actually within the installation boundaries. The southern arm is entirely within the installation boundaries and was the primary focus of the RI activities. The southern arm of Janes Ravine is bounded on the north by the golf course. Along its southern edge lie a former ammunition storage area; two small former ammunition and pesticide storage buildings [Building 172 (B172) and B173]; a former pesticide formulation building, now used for storage of golf course maintenance equipment (B126); the former aircraft maintenance facility, now used for storage of golf course maintenance equipment (B117); and the former Nike site control area (B912).

Surface soil and sediment analytical data from samples collected in Janes Ravine generally were below the maximum detected concentrations in the background data set for metals and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). However, a few pesticides/herbicides were detected in some sampling locations at concentrations above the highest concentration in the background data set. Pesticide/herbicide concentrations were the highest in the sediment sample collected near the western boundary of Fort Sheridan. This location is proximal to a golf course green area and may be affected by previous and ongoing golf course maintenance practices (i.e., pesticide/herbicide concentrations detected in sediment samples may be related to application of these constituents during golf course maintenance activities).

PAHs were not detected above method detection limits (MDLs) in the surface water samples collected from Janes Ravine. Arsenic (total and dissolved) and chromium (total and dissolved) were generally not detected in the surface water samples at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentrations in the background data set. Total lead and/or dissolved lead were detected in some surface water samples at concentrations moderately exceeding the highest detected concentration in the background data set. A discharge pipe from B117 may have been the source for lead in Janes Ravine as the highest concentrations were generally detected in the B117 surface water sample and in samples collected downstream of that sampling location. A few pesticides/herbicides were detected in two surface water samples at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentrations in the background data set. These detections may be related to pesticide/herbicide application during golf course maintenance activities.

L. variegatus was cultured in one Janes Ravine sediment sample. Arsenic was detected in the L. variegatus tissue from the ravine sediment sample at a concentration similar to the reference sediment tissue sample and higher than in the control sediment tissue sample. Chromium and lead

were detected at higher concentrations than in the reference and control sediment tissue samples. Pesticides/herbicides were generally detected in the ravine sediment tissue sample at higher concentrations than in the reference sediment tissue sample. However, only p,p'-DDD and p,p'-DDE in the ravine sediment tissue sample were detected at higher concentrations than in the control sediment tissue sample. Whole sediment chronic toxicity tests conducted with *H. azteca* in Janes Ravine sediment did not demonstrate any adverse effects to the growth and survival of the organisms.

5.2 Hutchinson Ravine

Hutchinson Ravine is the next ravine south of Janes Ravine. It lies entirely within the boundaries of the installation. The western portions of the ravine are relatively undisturbed. The main ravine channel is bounded by several golf course holes and officer housing units. A small northeastern arm of Hutchinson Ravine has been filled and is now referred to as LF2. The former drinking water treatment plant for Fort Sheridan was constructed on the beach at the mouth of Hutchinson Ravine. As part of this construction, the stream in the bottom of the ravine was diverted to a culvert that lies near the treatment plant and discharges directly to Lake Michigan. The ravine also drains stormwater runoff from roads on the installation as well as offsite.

Sediment analytical data from Hutchinson Ravine generally were below the maximum detected concentrations in the background data set for arsenic and chromium. Lead was detected in several sediment samples at concentrations slightly exceeding the maximum concentration in the background data set. Most of the higher concentrations of lead were detected in the sediment samples collected from the north branch of the ravine that is located just downgradient (south) of LF2/SARN. The lead detected in these sediment samples likely originated from the filled northern portion of this branch of Hutchinson Ravine.

Benzo(a)pyrene and/or total carcinogenic PAHs were detected at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentration in the background data set in sediment samples collected along the central portion of the ravine's main channel. There is no known potential mission-related source of benzo(a)pyrene or total carcinogenic PAHs to this portion of Hutchinson Ravine as it is bounded only by the golf course and housing units. However, the ravine does receive stormwater runoff from the installation and surrounding off-site areas. In addition, some pesticides/herbicides were detected in sediment samples collected from the main channel at concentrations above the highest concentration in the background data set. The origin of pesticides/herbicides in the main channel sediment of Hutchinson Ravine is uncertain, but may be related to application during golf course or lawn maintenance activities, as several golf course holes and officer housing units are located adjacent to the ravine to the north and south.

Arsenic (total and dissolved) and chromium (total and dissolved) were not detected in the Hutchinson Ravine surface water samples at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentrations in the

background data set. Total lead and/or dissolved lead were detected in a few surface water samples at concentrations exceeding the highest detected concentration in the background data set. Most of the higher concentrations of lead were detected in the surface water samples collected from the north branch of the ravine that is located just downstream (south) of LF2/SARN. The lead detected in these surface water samples likely originated from the filled northern portion of this branch of Hutchinson Ravine, now referred to as LF2, or from the SARN.

Benzo(a)pyrene and/or total carcinogenic PAHs were detected at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentration in the background data set in one surface water sample collected along the west central portion of the ravine. There is no known potential mission-related source of benzo(a)pyrene or total carcinogenic PAHs to this portion of Hutchinson Ravine as it is bounded only by the golf course and housing units. However, the ravine does receive stormwater runoff from the installation and surrounding off-site areas. A few pesticides/herbicides were detected in surface water samples collected from the main channel of Hutchinson Ravine at concentrations exceeding the maximum concentrations in the background data set. The origin of pesticides/herbicides in the main channel surface water of Hutchinson Ravine is uncertain, but may be related to application during previous and ongoing golf course or lawn maintenance activities, as several golf course holes and officer housing units are located adjacent to the ravine to the north and south.

L. variegatus was cultured in one Hutchinson Ravine sediment sample. Arsenic was detected in the L. variegatus tissue from the ravine sediment sample at a concentration similar to the reference sediment tissue sample and higher than in the control sediment tissue sample. Chromium was not detected above MDLs in the ravine sediment sample. Lead was detected at a higher concentration than in the reference and control sediment tissue samples. Pesticides/herbicides were generally detected in the ravine sediment tissue sample at higher concentrations than in the reference sediment tissue sample. However, only p,p'-DDD and p,p'-DDE in the ravine sediment tissue sample were detected at higher concentrations than in the control sediment tissue sample. Whole sediment chronic toxicity tests conducted with H. azteca in Hutchinson Ravine sediment did not demonstrate any adverse effects to the growth and survival of the organisms.

5.3 Beach Area

The Beach Area is located on the eastern portion of the Surplus OU, starting at the base of the bluffs along Lake Michigan to approximately 10 feet out into the lake. Available information indicated that prior activities at the study area included the possible burning of off-specification munitions. In addition, the area may have been an occasional or accidental impact area for the former trap range and artillery firing points. The Beach Area was also identified as a potential UXO area.

Given the high energy depositional/erosional nature of the beach, this study area was not anticipated to be a significant source of constituents of concern, even considering its interesting history of use. The three phases of investigation performed at the study area have generally confirmed that substantial levels of constituents are not present at the study area. Soil borings installed at the Beach Area indicate that the beach sediments (i.e., sand and gravel resulting from recent alluvial processes) extend to a mean depth of approximately 7.5 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs). These beach sediments overlie the native clay-rich till.

Sediment analytical data indicate that arsenic, chromium, and lead were generally detected at relatively low concentrations, albeit above their respective detected concentrations in the background beach sediment sample. Benzo(a)pyrene and total carcinogenic PAHs were generally detected in Beach Area sediment samples at concentrations lower than the MDLs of the background sample. A few pesticides/herbicides were detected in the Janes Ravine outfall samples. The origin of the pesticides/herbicides is unknown, but may be related to previous and ongoing golf course activities farther up the ravine. In addition, 1,3-dinitrobenzene was detected in one lake sediment sample at a low concentration (just above the MDL). It is possible this explosive-related constituent is related to the burning of off-specification munitions and/or the Beach Area's history as an impact area. This is the only detection of an explosive-related constituent in the Beach Area sediment samples.

Total arsenic, total chromium, PAHs, and pesticides/herbicides were not detected above MDLs in any of the four surface water samples collected from the ravine outfalls to Lake Michigan. Total lead was detected in two surface water samples at relatively low concentrations (less than three times the MDL).

L. variegatus was cultured in two beach sediment samples collected from the outfalls to Lake Michigan of Janes and Hutchinson Ravines. Arsenic, chromium, and lead were detected in the L. variegatus tissue from the beach sediment samples at concentrations similar to those in the reference sediment tissue sample and at higher concentrations than in the control sediment tissue sample. Most pesticides/herbicides were detected in the beach sediment tissue samples at similar concentrations to those in the reference sediment tissue sample and at higher concentrations than in the control sediment tissue sample. However, p,p'-DDD in the beach sediment tissue samples was detected at higher concentrations than in the reference and control sediment tissue samples.

Samples of the groundwater were collected from monitoring wells at the Beach Area as worst case (undiluted) samples of the Lake Michigan surface water. Fathead minnows (*P. promelas*) were exposed to the groundwater samples and no adverse effects were observed.

6.0 Summary of Site Risks

In order to characterize the potential current and future threats to human health and the environment that may be posed by the constituents of concern at the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU, a BRA was conducted as part of the RI in accordance with USEPA's Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS): Volumes I - Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A) and Volume II - Environmental Evaluation Manual (USEPA, 1989).

The BRA evaluated the ravines and Beach Area study areas to determine if constituents found in the surface soil, sediment, and surface water during the RI were present in concentrations that represented a potential for current or future health risks to humans or adverse effects on the environment. Because of the physical site characteristics (a narrow beach and steep-sloped ravines), and because the Army will transfer the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas to the Lake County Forest Preserve District, the BRA took into consideration the current and future reuses of the ravines and Beach Area study areas as open space. The potential health effects may differ depending on how the land of the ravines and Beach Area study areas will be used currently and in the future. Therefore, the BRA included exposure by current and future recreational users at the ravines and Beach Area study areas.

6.1 Human Health Risk Summary

Constituents of potential concern (COPCs) were identified in order to streamline the risk assessment process by identifying constituents that contribute most significantly to overall potential risk. COPCs were evaluated separately for surface soil, sediment, and surface water. Metals, PAHs, and pesticides were identified as COPCs based on methods presented in RAGS and discussed in detail in the RI/BRA for the ravines and Beach Area study areas (QST, 1998a). The COPCs identified for the ravines and Beach Area study areas are presented in Table 6-1.

The BRA interpreted the RI data in order to (1) identify those exposure pathways that may pose a current or future potential risk to human health and the environment and (2) determine the degree of this potential risk. The BRA evaluated each human exposure pathway for completeness and determined that there were two significant exposure scenarios. The significant human exposure scenarios for the ravines and Beach Area study areas addressed in the BRA were current and future recreational use.

Under current land use conditions (recreational), the risk and hazards due to the constituents found at the ravines and Beach Area study areas via all exposure pathways are well within the target carcinogenic risk range and below the non-carcinogenic hazard index (HI) target value of 1 (Table 6-2). Under future land use conditions (recreational), the highest potential carcinogenic risk due to the constituents found at the ravines and Beach Area study areas via all exposure pathways is 3E-05 (i.e., three additional chances in 100,000 that an individual may develop cancer over a lifetime

of exposure) (see Table 6-2). This is well within the target risk range. The risk in the ravines is primarily associated with PAHs and pesticides in the sediments. The PAH concentrations detected at the ravines exceeded the maximum background concentrations by as much as 5-fold. The highest pesticide concentrations detected at the ravines exceeded the maximum background concentration by nearly two orders of magnitude. The potential risks for the Beach Area are primarily associated with exposure to arsenic, which was detected at concentrations exceeding the concentration detected in the background beach sample by a factor of 6.

6.2 Ecological Risk Summary

An ecological risk assessment was conducted at the ravines and Beach Area study areas as part of the BRA. The ravines and Beach Area study areas are generally open space with no paved or filled areas. The ecological risk assessment considered potential risks to both aquatic and terrestrial species, including aquatic invertebrates (animals without backbones), amphibians (e.g., toads), raccoons, cats (as a surrogate for house pets), shrews, woodchucks, and shorebirds (e.g., snipe). The ecological risk assessment compared the concentrations of the constituents at the ravines and Beach Area study areas with environmental health based levels. Environmental studies were also performed on freshwater worms (L. variegatus) and amphipods (H. azteca) using sediments from the ravines and Beach Area study areas. While groundwater is not considered a viable pathway for the human health risk assessment, the discharge of groundwater into Lake Michigan was of concern for the ecological risk assessment. The groundwater at the beach discharges directly to the lake and, thus, may affect Lake Michigan.

The ecological risk assessment equivalent of the human health HI is the ecotoxicity quotient (EQ). As with the HI, an EQ greater than one (EQ>1) indicates a level of risk that is potentially unacceptable. None of the COPC concentrations in the surface water and sediment samples from Janes or Hutchinson Ravines resulted in an EQ>1 for any of the species or COPCs evaluated (Table 6-3). For the Beach Area, two COPCs resulted in an EQ>1 for sediment. The inorganic constituents aluminum and arsenic had EQs>1 for raccoons incidentally ingesting sediment. However, consideration of the fact that the home range of a typical raccoon would not be limited to just the Beach Area reduces the potential for exposure to the point where no adverse effects are anticipated.

The evaluation of the potential for COPCs to concentrate in animal food chains was based upon snipes eating L. variegatus exposed to surface water at the Beach Area. This evaluation resulted in an EQ>1 for total chromium and manganese. As with the raccoons, consideration of the home range of the snipe reduces the potential for exposure to the point where no adverse effects are anticipated. Additionally, the concentrations of manganese in the Beach Area L. variegatus samples were not different than the concentrations of manganese in the reference L. variegatus samples.

EQs for two Lake Michigan sediment constituents (aluminum and 1,3-dinitrobenzene) indicate that adverse effects on benthic invertebrates may occur. However, consideration of additional sediment data collected during the DoD OU RI indicate that the detection of 1,3-dinitrobenzene may be an anomaly and that aluminum concentrations associated with Surplus OU Lake Michigan sediment samples are less than those observed elsewhere in the lake. In summary, no adverse effects to environmental receptors are expected from either Janes Ravine, Hutchinson Ravine, or the Beach Area.

Table 6-1. COPCs for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas

Medium	Human Health COPCs	Ecc	oCOPCs
anes Ravine			
Sediment	Benzo(a)anthracene	Chlordane, total	Methoxychlor
	Benzo(a)pyrene	DDD, p,p'-	Methylnaphthalene, 2-
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	DDE, p,p'-	Silver
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	DDT, p,p'-	
	Chlordane	Hexachlorocyclohexane,	
	Chrysene	gamma- (Lindane)	
	DDD, p,p'-		
	DDT, p,p'-		
	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene		
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		
Surface Water	Manganese	DDD, p,p'-	Manganese
		DDT, p,p'-	Sulfate
Variation of Design			
lutchinson Ravine			
Sediment	Benzo(a)anthracene	2,4,5-T	DDD, p,p'-
	Benzo(a)pyrene	Acenaphthene	DDE, p,p'-
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Acenaphthylene	DDT, p,p'-
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Aldrin	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene
	Chlordane	Anthracene	Endrin
	Chrysene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Fluoranthene
	DDD, p,p'-	Benzo(a)pyrene	Fluorene
	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Hexachlorocyclohexane,
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	gamma- (Lindane)
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene Cadmium	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
		Carbazole	Mercury Methylmorhthologo 2
		Chlordane, alpha-	Methylnaphthalene, 2- Naphthalene
		Chlordane, gamma-	Phenanthrene
		Chlordane, total	Pyrene
		Chrysene	Silver
		Cyanide, total	
Surface Water	Benzo(a)pyrene	Anthracene	Decachlorobiphenyl
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Benzo(a)pyrene	Manganese
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Cyanide	Pyrene
	Chloromethane	DDD, p,p'-	Sulfate
Hutchinson Ravine Sediment	Manganese	DDE, p,p'-	Zine
	Sulfate	DDT, p,p'-	

Table 6-1. COPCs for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas

Study Area/ Medium	Human Health COPCs	E	coCOPCs
Beach Area			
Sediment	Arsenic	Aluminum	Hexachlorocyclohexane,
	Beryllium	Antimony	gamma- (Lindane)
	Manganese	Arsenic	Manganese
		Chlordane, total	Nickel
		DDD, p,p'-	Zinc
		DDE, p,p'-	
		DDT, p,p'-	
Surface Water	Chloroform	Barium	Sulfate
	Manganese	Manganese	
	Sulfate		
Lake Michigan			Aluminum
Sediment			Dinitrobenzene, 1-3-
Groundwater		Amino-2,6-DNT, 4-	DDT, p,p'-
		Barium	Endosulfan sulfate
		Benzo(a)anthracene	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene
		Benzo(a)pyrene	Lead
		Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Manganese
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Mercury
		Cobalt	Methylnaphthalene, 2-
		Copper	Pyrene
		DDD, p,p'-	Vanadium
			Zinc

COPC = constituent of potential concern.

Source: QST, 1998.

Table 6-2. Summary of Potential Human Health Risks

Exposure Scenario		arcinogenic l Index	Total Car Ris	cinogenic k†		
Janes Ravine	RAE	RME	RAE	RME		
Current Recreational	6E-03	3E-02	4E-07	2E-06		
Future Recreational						
Adult	1E-02	6E-02	1E-06	6E-06		
Child	4E-02	2E-01	1	-		
Hutchinson Ravine						
Current Recreational	4E-03	2E-02	4E-07	2E-06		
Future Recreational						
Adult	8E-03	4E-02	5E-06	3E-05		
Child	2E-02	1E-01	1	•		
Beach Area						
Future Recreational						
Adult	6E-03	3E-02	1E-06	5E-06		
Child	3E-02	1E-01	1			

RAE = reasonable average exposure.

RME = reasonable maximum exposure.

Source: QST, 1998.

[†] Lifetime cancer risk estimate. Childhood cancer risks are included in values presented for the adult.

Table 6-3. Summary of Potential Risks to Ecological Receptors

Exposure Medium	Receptor Type	Number of Times EQ>1	EcoCOPCs with EQ>1	Significance
Janes Ravine				
Sediment	Raccoon	0/8		
Sediment	Lumbriculus and	NA		Results indicate sediments not
Bioassays	Hyalella			chronically toxic to benthic invertebrates.
Surface Water	Shrew .	0/3		
Surface Water	Feral Cat	0/3		
Surface Water	Woodchuck	0/3		
Surface Water	Raccoon	0/3		
Hutchinson Ravine				
Sediment	Raccoon	0/33		
Sediment	Lumbriculus and	NA		Results indicate sediments not
Bioassays	Hyalella			chronically toxic to benthic
•				invertebrates.
Surface Water	Shrew	0/10		
Surface Water	Feral Cat	0/10		
Surface Water	Woodchuck	0/10		
Surface Water	Raccoon	0/10		
Surface Water	Amphibians	0/3		
Surface Water	Aq. Invertebrates	0/10		
Lumbriculus	Raccoons	0/11		
Beach Area				
Sediment	Raccoon	2/11	Aluminum	Potential for adverse effects; however, consideration of the animals home range significantly
			Arsenic	reduces the potential for exposure. Therefore, no adverse effects are anticipated.
Sediment	Snipes	0/11		
Sediment	Lumbriculus	NA		Results indicate sediments not
Bioassays				chronically toxic to benthic
				invertebrates.
Surface Water	Shrew	0/2		
Surface Water	Feral Cat	0/2		
Surface Water	Woodchuck	0/2		,

Table 6-3. Summary of Potential Risks to Ecological Receptors

Exposure Medium	Receptor Type	Number of Times EQ>1	EcoCOPCs with	Significance
		EQZI		
Beach Area (cont.)				
Surface Water	Raccoon	0/2		
Lumbriculus	Snipes	2/11	Chromium, total	Some potential for adverse effects; however, consideration of the home range should reduce the
			Manganese	potential for exposure and any adverse effects. Additionally, consideration of background concentrations of manganese in prey do not indicate adverse effects.
Surface Water	Aquatic Invertebrates	0/3		
Lumbriculus	Raccoons	0/11		
Lake Michigan				
Surface Water Bioassays	Fathead Minnows		NA	Results indicate groundwater not acutely toxic to fish species.
Sediment	Aquatic invertebrates	2/2	Aluminum	EQs indicate that adverse effects on benthic invertebrates may occur. However, consideration of additional sediment data indicate
		1/2	1,2-Dinitrobenzene	that the detection of 1,3- dinitrobenzene may be an anomaly and that aluminum concentrations are less than those observed elsewhere in the lake.

NA = not applicable.

Source: QST, 1998.

7.0 Description of the No Response Action Determination

The results of the BRA indicate that, for the current and future use scenarios of open space, the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Physical site characteristics (a narrow beach and steep-sloped ravines) would likely preclude residential development and use of these study areas. Furthermore, the Lake County Forest Preserve District is planning on using the ravines and Beach Area study areas as open space. Therefore, No Response Action is necessary for the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU.

8.0 Documentation of Significant Changes

The Proposed Remedial Action Plan for the ravines and Beach Area study areas of the Surplus OU was released for public comment on June 10, 1998. The Proposed Remedial Action Plan identified No Response Action as the Preferred Alternative. The Army did not receive any written or verbal comments during the public comment period. Therefore, it is determined that no significant changes to the decision that No Response Action is necessary, as originally identified in the Proposed Remedial Action Plan, are necessary.

9.0 References

- Argonne National Laboratory. 1989. "Enhanced Preliminary Assessment Report"; prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency; Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- Gross, D., R.L. Muhly, H.K. Woods, R.L. Yon, D.J. Wenz, J.D. Wienand, and N.P. Leibel, 1982.

 "Installation Assessment of Fort Sheridan and Joliet Training Area, Illinois"; prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency; Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- Melichar, Paul. 1995. Fort Sheridan: Historical Analysis. The Concepts Group.
- QST Environmental. 1998a. Final Remedial Investigation/Baseline Risk Assessment for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit, Fort Sheridan, Illinois. Prepared for USAEC, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- QST Environmental. 1998b. Final Remedial Action Plan for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit, Fort Sheridan, Illinois. Prepared for USAEC, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- USEPA. 1991. Guide to Developing Superfund No Action, Interim Action, and Contingency Remedy RODs. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.

 Publication: 9355.3-02FS-3.
- USEPA. 1989. Risk Assessment Guidelines for Superfund: Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual, Part A, Interim Final. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. OSWER Directive 9285.7-011.
- USEPA. 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund: Volume II. Environmental Evaluation Manual, Interim Final. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA 540/1-89/001.

Appendix A

Administrative Record Index

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
1.001.1	1	Sanitary Landfill Closure, Fort Sheridan, Illinois	Greeley and Hansen	9/1/78	IL EPA
1.002	_	Final Design Analysis Sanitary Landfill Closure	Greeley and Hansen	2/1/80	US Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha
1.003	-	Feasibility Study to Determine the Use of On-site Soils for Landfill Cover Materials	Soil Testing Services, Inc.	6/2/80	Benson, Doug - Facilities Engineering, Fort Sheridan, IL
, 00	,	Letter-re: Lab Results of Landfill Samples near Wells Ravine	Young, R.A Young Environmental		
1.004			Services	4/11/81	Ketchik, J., Facilities Engineering
1.005	1.3.4.5	Installation Assessment of Fort Sheridan and Joilet Training Area. Illinois	Chemical Systems Laboratory	5/1/82	ПЅАТНАМА
	1,3,5	Historical Overview of the Nike Missile System	Environmental Science and Engineering	12/1/84	USATHAMA
		Update of the Initial Installation Assessment of Fort Sheridan,	0		
	1,3,4,5	Illinois	Environmental Science and Engineering	8/1/87	USATHAMA
1.009	1,3,4,5	Enhanced Preliminary Assessment Report: Fort Sheridan,	Argonne National Laboratories	10/1/89	USATHAMA
		Installation Assessment Army Base Closure Program, Fort			
-	1,3,4,5	Sheridan, Lake County, Illinois	The Bionetics Corp.	4/1/90	US EPA
1.009.2	_	MOU Between Department of Army and Navy	Secretary of Army and Sec. of Navy	8/8/91	
1 000 3	1345	Report of Findings for PCB Transformer Sampling Conducted at Eart Sheridan Illinois	Emironmental Science and Engineering	6/44/02	AMAUTA OLI
	\top	Fort Sheridan Unexploded Ordnance Survey (50 Acre Parcel)	CIMIONINE SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	76/11/0	CONTRAINA
1.011.2	2,3,5		IT Corporation	10/14/93	US AEC
4 044 5	316	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA)	The state of the s	70,717	Ç L
T	1	Charles I Inculated Outcomes Committee Teach	ine carui iechnology corporation	4/1/94	US AEC
1 012 1	23.5	Report	IT Comoration	7/1/94	O D D
		Letter-re: IEPA Requesting Dept. of Army to Sample Metal			
1.012.2	1	Water Tower (south end)	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	11/7/94	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
		Letter-re: Concept Design Report for Closure Design of			
1.013	-	Landfills 6 & 7	Schafer, G.M US EPA	12/8/94	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
1 014	1345	Industrial Radiation Historical Data Review, Survey No. 27-83- 2859A-95 Fort Sheridan Illinois 15 January-30 March 1995	MddHCASI	1/15/05	MOSSOOM
	1	Memorandum-re: "Probable UXO" Area, April 1994 CERFA			
1.015.5	1	Report	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/20/95	US AEC
		Exploratory Trenching Report Landfills 6 and 7 Fort Sheridan,			
			Environmental Science and Engineering	5/1/95	US Army Corps of Engineers, Louisville
		_	Greeley and Hansen	6/19/80	Fort Shendan
1.018		Risk Characterization of Landfill / Air Emissions (Volatiles)	US EPA	6/19/95	Reilly, C., - Fort Sheridan BEC
610		Sheridan Landfill 7	Rose Janny - USN EFA Midwest	7/6/95	Poilly C. Fort Sheridan BEC
		Letter-re: Landfill 7 Black Pipe (LF&BP) Sample Results		9/26/95	Reilly C Fort Sheridan BEC
		d Explosive Waste (OEW)	Balliett, A.L Chief, Environmental		
2.001	2	Removal Action at Fort Sheridan, IL	Management Division, Fort McCoy	8/2/94	Schafer, G.M US EPA
200		Letter-re. Tittle Officer Officer and Explosive Waste Removal Action at Eart Sheridan III	Management Division Eat McCov	10/0/4	Agn II G S michool
		Explosive Safety Submission for Ordnance Removal and Land	US Army Corps of Engineers, St. Louis	1075	1000 TELL 2
2.003	2	Disposal of 38 Acre Parcel at Fort Sheridan, IL		8/15/94	US Army Corps of Engineers, Huntsville Division

• AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

Extension DOCUMENTTILE National Action for Ordinated N		Management		al Management		al Management		al Management		ai management		al Management										ntsville Division					ntsville Division		ouisville District	vijeville Dietrict	DUISVIIIG CISTING	isville District		visville District	vuisville District	ouisville District				
DOCUMENT TITLE The Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Fort Sheridan, IL Fort Sheridan, IL The Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Fort Sheridan, IL The Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B17794 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177997 B179997 B179998 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177994 B177997 B17996 B177994 B177997 B17996 B179994 B179994 B177997 B17996 B179994	PECIBIENT	Salliett A I -Chief Environmenta	Division, Fort McCov	3alliett, A.L Chief, Environment	Division, Fort McCoy	Salliett, A.L Chief, Environment	Jivisian, Fort McCoy	Salliett, A.L Chief, Environment	JUNISION, FOR MICCOY	Salliett, A.L Chief, Environment	JIVISION, FORT MICCOY	Saillett, A.L Chief, Environment	JUNEOU, FOIL MCCOY	Schafer G.M US FPA		Jussbaum, S.D IL EPA	ake, Paul T IL EPA	Beilly C - Fort Sheridan BEC				S Army Corps of Engineers, Hu		Seilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC			JS Army Corps of Engineers, Hu		J.S. Army Corps of Engineers, L.	S Army Come of Engineers 19	co. Amy carps of Lighteds, L	S Army Corps of Engineers. Lot		1.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Lo	1.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Lo	I.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Lo			ackson, J USATHAMA	
DOCUMENT TITLE Ime Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Ime-Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Fort Sheridan, IL Pond to facilitate Time Critical Removal Pond to facilitate Time Critical Removal Mme-Critical Removal Action for Ordnance ant of Time Critical Ordnance & Explosive ant of Time Critical Ordnance and Explosive ant of Time Critical Ordnance and Explosives on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) on on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) on on Unexploded Ordnance and Explosives of CES Site Operations - Addendum 001 we (OE) Site Operation (Includes Teport Time Critical Removal Action at Fort Sheridan, III ordnance & Explosives and Sampling Action , Fort Sheridan, eport on shelf Volumes I & II) cot Sheridan (Includes Interim Remedial Action Leachate crifications Interim Remedial Action Corrected Final Interim Remedial Action Design ccted Final (includes drawings) Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL. Coal Storage (See Spearate report on shelf) Interim Remedial Action Design ccted Final (includes drawings) Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL. Coal Storage (See Spearate report on shelf) Interim Remedial Action Sheridan Schnical Plan, and Health and Safety in the Draft Technical Plan and the Draft Interioral Plan and Health and the Draft	DATE	T					T				T		T		Т		Γ	T	T	3/12/96			Г				П	,	June, 199 (1 100 1	200 (2000)	Nov. 1997		Feb, 1998	Feb, 1998 L	 April, 199 L				
DOCUMENT TITLE Ime Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Ime-Critical Removal Action for Ordnance Fort Sheridan, IL Pond to facilitate Time Critical Removal Pond to facilitate Time Critical Removal Mme-Critical Removal Action for Ordnance ant of Time Critical Ordnance & Explosive ant of Time Critical Ordnance and Explosive ant of Time Critical Ordnance and Explosives on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) on on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) on on Unexploded Ordnance and Explosives of CES Site Operations - Addendum 001 we (OE) Site Operation (Includes Teport Time Critical Removal Action at Fort Sheridan, III ordnance & Explosives and Sampling Action , Fort Sheridan, eport on shelf Volumes I & II) cot Sheridan (Includes Interim Remedial Action Leachate crifications Interim Remedial Action Corrected Final Interim Remedial Action Design ccted Final (includes drawings) Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL. Coal Storage (See Spearate report on shelf) Interim Remedial Action Design ccted Final (includes drawings) Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL. Coal Storage (See Spearate report on shelf) Interim Remedial Action Sheridan Schnical Plan, and Health and Safety in the Draft Technical Plan and the Draft Interioral Plan and Health and the Draft	AIITHOR		Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA		Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	400 = 0.0 mindonia	Mussbaulli, O.D IL EFA	AGD II O O mischooling	Mussbaum, o.D IL ETA	Missbail C S missbail	Mussbaum, S.D IL ETA	Schafer Gany M. 118 EDA	Balliett A L - Chief Environmental	Management Division, Fort McCov	Balliett, A.L Chief, Environmental	Management Division, Fort McCov	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	Lake, Paul T IL EPA	Harold K. Miller, Jr., Colonel, U.S. Army.	Commanding Officer	X	HFA (Human Factors Applications, Inc.)		Diversified Technologies Corporation	6		Human Factors Applications, Inc. (HFA)		Environmental Science & Engineering	Environmental Science & Frogineering	AW Engineering and Environmental	Services, Inc.		Environmental Science & Engineering	Environmental Science & Engineering	T Corporation			ranz, W.D US EPA	
AR* 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 1,3,4,5		Letter-re: Proposed Time Critical Removal Action		for Ordnance			for Ordnonoo	Time-Crucal Netrioval Action 101 Orginance	tical Removal Action for Ordnance &		for Ordanco		ent of Time Critical Ordnance & Explosive					Letter-re: Army Position on Unexploded Ordnance (UXO)	Action Memorandum-re: Time Critical Ordnance and Explosives I	Removal, Former Firing Range, Fort Sheridan, IL	ddendum 001		oval Action at		Explosives	Sheridan,					lysis, Coal Storage Area 3.		Phase I Interim Remedial Action Corrected Final				Letter-re: Review of Technical Plan. Sampling and Analysis	Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Health and Safety	Plan for Fort Sheridan	Letter-re: Comments on the Draft Technical Plan and the Draft
	DOC NO		2.004	200	CM.2	2 006		2 007		2.008		2 009		2.010		2.011	2.013	2.014		2.015		2.016		2.016.5			2.017	2 047 5	2.017.3	2.107.6		2.018		2.018.1	2.018.2	2.019			3.002.2	

• AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

AR*		DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT	г
	Š	Letter-re: Comments regarding the Analytical Methods in Technical Plan	ACT 011 C W	0010717		_
13.45 etter-re: R		etter-re Response to Comments	Franz, W.D US EPA	4/13/90	Fendick, R., USATHAMA	_
4.5 Final Healt		Final Health and Safety Plan Fort Sheridan II	F C. Jordan Co.	7/1/90	Fendick, K., USATHAMA	Т
1,3,4,5 Final Qual	=	Final Quality Assurance Program Plan. Fort Sheridan. II	F.C. Jordan Co		ISATHAMA	Т
1,3,4,5 Final Sam	1 1	Final Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL	E.C. Jordan Co.		USATHAMA	1
	로	Final Technical Plan, Fort Sheridan, IL	E.C. Jordan Co.		USATHAMA	Т
1,3,4,5 Letter-re:	4	Letter-re: Final Technical Plans	Torrisi, Salvatore P., Chief, USATHAMA	9/14/90	Denning, T IL EPA	Т
Amendn 1,3,4,5 for Stora	ig ig	Amendment to Final Technical and Sampling and Analysis Plan for Storage Area Investigations at Fort Sheridan, IL	I Analysis Plan Environmental Science and Engineering, IL	9/18/90	USATHAMA	
l offer-re	1	effer-re. Reguest from ILEPA for conies of the following:				
Samplin	. B	Sampling and Analysis Plan, Health and Safety Plan, Quality				
1,3,4,5 Assuran	8	Assurance Program Plan, and Technical Plan for Fort Sheridan Torrisi, Salvatore P., Chief, USATHAMA	Torrisi, Salvatore P., Chief, USATHAMA	10/25/90	10/25/90 Carter, Julia, IL EPA	
	ner.	nt to Final Technical and Sampling and Analysis	Environmental Science and Engineering,			T
1,3,4,0 Fights 10	<u>ا</u> اح	7	Inc.	11/2/90	USATHAMA	-
1.3.4.5 Samplir	6. A	Letter-re: Keview of Amendments to Final Technical and Sampling Analysis Plans for Fort Sheridan 11	Carter Inia F - II FDA	R/1/01	Established	
+	E	Part IIB. Field	Environmental Science and Engineering	2	Gildich, N., CONTLICTION	Т
	/ees	EP)	Inc.	9/12/91	Fendick, R., USATHAMA	
1,3,4,5 Letter-	.e	Letter-re: Responses to Comments on RI/FS Work Plans	Torrisi, S.P USASTHAMA	10/18/91	Carter. J IL EPA	т
Adden	dum					_
	an K	medial investigation/Feasibility Study, Fort	Environmental Science and Engineering,			_
Addendim		O Final Sampling and Analysis Dlan Storage Area	Inc.	10/23/91	USATHAMA	Т
	igatio		Environmental Science and Engineering,			
1,3,4,5 Invest	igatio		lnc.	10/23/91	USATHAMA	
	-re S					_
1,3,4,5 Plan,	Healt	munity Relations Plan		11/14/91		-
-	9		Davis, S.K IL EPA	4/2/92	Torrisi, S USATHAMA	
Sherid	an R	Letter-re, responses to trie light Confinents to the Fort Sheridan Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work				
1,3,4,5 Plans			US AEC	4/6/92	Carter, J., IL EPA	
Draft F Report	inal Ren	Draft Final Remedial Investigation (RI)/Risk Assessment (RA) Report Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Fort Sheridan IL Environmental Science and Engineering,				1
1,3,4,5 (3 Volumes)	mes			6/1/92	USATHAMA	_
	re: C	mments on Draft Remedial Investigation/Risk				T-
1,3,4,5 Assessment	men		Torrisi, S.P USATHAMA	6/17/92	Choi, S.S., US EPA	
	.e.					1
1,5,4,0 Investi		Investigation (KI) Report, including Risk Assessment (KA) After-re- Concerns and recommendations Based on the Draft	Carter, J.E IL EPA	1127192	Fendick, R., USATHAMA	
Final R	eme	Final Remedial Investigation(RI) Report and Risk				
1,3,4,5 Asses	men		Choi, S US EPA	10/6/92	Fendick, R., USATHAMA	_
Letter-re: Co	(a) (c)	mments on Draft Remedial Investigation/Risk			* CL C : - 10	_
7	2			10///92	Chal, S.S., US EPA	_

• AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

	T																		Tict							-						T					7
DECIDIENT	RECIPIENT	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	Fendick, R US AEC	Stokke, S., HQ Fort McCoy		IL EPA	USACE Louisville District	USAEC	USACE-Louisville District	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC		Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA		Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA			US Army Environmental Center	US Army Corps of Engineers	US Army Corps of Engineers, Louisville District	Lechner, Dr. Charles-USAEC	Lechner, Dr. Charles-USAEC		North Shore Sanitary District	A CT CL	Indiripson, vv.O US EPA	US AEC		Aug. 1996 Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	Ochoc Dr Charles In AEC	Leciliei, Di. Chuck-Ookeo	Reilly C Fort Sheridan BEC	Lake, Paul T IL EPA			Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	Roilly C. Fort Shoridan DEC	Selly, C FUIL OFFICIALI DEC
DATE	מאוני	2/9/93	8/15/93	11/4/93	, ,	5/11/94	7/1/94	10/25/94	11/1/94	12/22/94		1/26/95		2/27/95	3/6/95		3/15/95	4/5/95	5/10/95	6/5/95	5/26/95		6/7/95	2/4 4 /05	0/14/90	Feb. 1996 US AEC		Aug. 1996			2/15/96	T	4/12/96		4/12/96	9023/06	1
AUTHOR		Wooten, COL. R.G USA EC	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	Ripley, L.J US EPA	Pergams, K.; D. Debennette - Lake	County nearth Department	Environmental Science and Engineering	Environmental Science and Engineering	Environmental Science and Engineering	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC		Rellly, C Fort Shendan BEC	Nelly, C Fort Sheridan BEC		Environmental Science and Engineering	Ecology Services, Inc.	Environmental Science and Engineering		Environmental Science and Engineering		McKinlay D K Emironmental Science	and Engineering	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Environmental Science and Engineering	!	USACHPPM	Environmental Science and Engineering		Ecology Services, Inc.	f Nuclear Safety			Wojciechowski, LTC Paul E.	Thompson W Owen - US FPA	
DOCUMENT TITLE		Remedial Investigation/Risk Assessment Report		Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for Fort Sheridan, II.	Report	SSHASP-Soil, Groundwater, and Landfill Investigations at LF	\neg	Shallow Groundwater Resource Classification, Fort Sheridan, IL	SSHASP-Landfill Leachate Sampling at Landfill 7	$\overline{}$		Classification Review Comments		Memorandum for Record 1 andfill 6 & 7 Closure East Sheridan	Final Overall Quality Assurance Project Plan (OAPD) Pemedial	Investigation/Feasibility Study Fort Sheridan, Illinois (See	separate report on shelf - 2 Volumes)	Storm Sewer Outfall Testing at Landfill #7, Fort Sheridan, IL		Letter-re: Golf Course Sampling and Analysis Plan	Final Sampling and Analysis Plan for Background Sampling		ling the SOP for		T		ion Survey, Fort Sheridan, Illinois. 17	August 95 - 30 May 96.		Building Locations	at Fort Sheridan, Illinois	Radiological Assessment & Survey at Fort Sheridan	Final Data Validation Report - 10 Volume set	pu	Resampling Proposal for Fort Sheridan	validation heridan.	
O AR*		1,3,4,5	1,3,4,5	1,3,4,5	•			1,3,4,5	\neg	1,3,4,5	27.0	1,3,4,0	1345	+			1,3,4,5	-	 -	35	1,3,4,5			1,3,4,5		1,3,4,5		1,3,4,3	3,4,5		3,5	$\overline{}$	1,3,4,5		1,3,4,5	3,4,5	
DOC NO	9,0	3.040	3.041.1	3.046	3.049		3.050.9.1	3.053	3.053.1.1	3.054	2000	2000	3 056	3.057.1.1			3.057.2.2	3.058	3.064	3.068	3.008.3	3 069	300	3.071		3.072	2 070 4	3.073.	3.073.2		3.074	3.075	3.076	7 010	3.076.1	3.076.5	

* AR LEGEND:

1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

Dana 4114

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
		Final Phase III Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Surplus			
3.077	3,4,5	Operable Unit-Fort Sheridan (See separate report on shelf)	Environmental Science and Engineering	10/4/96	Lechner, Dr. Chuck-USAEC
3 077 4	2 4 5	Charles Hinois		00000	
	2 1 2	Letter-re: Draft Phase Data Usability Evaluation Fort	Hioripson, vv. Owen - US EPA	10/28/30	Kelliy, C Fort Sherdan BEC
3.077.2	3,4,5		Environmental Science and Engineering	11/13/96	Thompson, W. Owen - US EPA
3.077.4	3,4	chnical Evaluation Plan Fort Sheridan RI/FS	Environmental Science and Engineering		US AEC
2 777 6	ç	vey, Fort			
3.077.5	5,1		- 1	12/2/96	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
3.078	-	_	Science Applications International Corp.	1/97	Lechner, Dr. Chuck-USAEC
3 070	7	Video. Showing Kemedial investigation Field (Vork-Landfills 5 & 1.4 Activities.)		7010	
200	-	Letter-re Industrial Radiation Close-Out and Termination	Environmental Science and Engineering	2/8/	Rellly, C Fort Sheridan DEC
3 079 1	134		Thompson IV Owen HSEDA	4/30/07	Doilly O Fort Shoridan BEC
		Evaluation Report. Fort		10000	Nemy, C. Total Grand DEC
3.080	1,2,3,4,5	•	Environmental Science and Engineering	5/21/97	US AEC
		G Qualifiers)Background	П	Γ	
3.080.1	1,3,5		QST Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
	1,3,4,5	Final Data Validation Report #1 - 3 Volume set	ECG, Inc.	4/30/97	US AEC
	1,3,4,5	Final Data Validation Report #2 - 3 Volume set	ECG, Inc.	5/19/97	US AEC
	3,4,5	Final Data Validation Report #3 - 3 Volume set	ECG, Inc.	26/9/9	US AEC
3.084	1	unpu	pplications International Corp.	26/9	US AEC
		sformer pad,			
3.084.5	3		Day, Paul, DTC	7/1/97	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
		er-re: evaluation of available information for Landfills 3 & 4			Lake, Paul - Illinois EPA & Thompson, Owen-
3.085	4		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/11/97	USEPA
	,	k Assessment for			
3.086	1,3,4		QST Environmental Inc.	7/18/97	US AEC
	,	NFG Qualitiers) Landfills 3 and			
3.000.1	4	4 Operable Unit, Fort Sheridan Chemical Analytical Data (With NEG Ottalifiers) Assibaltic	Go I Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
3.086.2	1,3		OST Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
3.087	3,4,5				US AEC
		Г			
3.088	1,3	Survey Report for the Nike Missile Facilities at Fort Sheridan	Lake, Paul T., Illinois EPA	7/31/97	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
080	345		Thomas M. Commod T	70/0/0	
T		Analysis -Surplus OU-Fort			Velly, C For Cilendan DEC
3.090.1	3,5		Manikas, Christopher S., SAIC	26/8/6	Fileccia, Robert - USACE, Louisville District
		ť			
3.091	3,4,5	Final Data Validation Report #2, and Final Data Validation	Thompson, W. Owen, USEPA	9/22/97	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
3.092	3,4,5		Thompson, W. Owen, USEPA	10/21/97	Reilly C Fort Sheridan BEC
	1				

DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
al Sampling Results and Data Evaluation Report for cellaneous Surplus Operable Unit Study Areas, Fort.			
ridan, Illinois (3-Volumes) mical Analytical Data (With NEG Qualifiers)Miscellaneous	QST Environmental Inc.	11/7/97	USAEC, Base Closure Division
dy Areas	QST Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
emical Analytical Data (With NFG Qualifiers) Surplus OU	QST Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
incation Sampling Results, Surplus Operable Unit, Fort ridan, Illinois	Science Applications International Com	Nov 1997	Nov 1997 ISACE - Louisville District
er-re: Final VOC Data Usability, Surplus and DoD Operable			Lake Paul - Illinois FPA & Thompson Owen-
s, Ft. Sheridan	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	12/3/97	USEPA
ender Nepy to Neepon see to Confine its off the Digit Final			
Sellaneous Surplus OU Study Areas, Fort Sheridan, Illinois.			
Sheridan BRAC Cleanup Team, November 7, 1997.	Thompson, W. Owen, USEPA	12/3/97	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BFC
ar-re: Response to Owen Thompson, USEPA letter dated			
ember 3, 1997	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	12/9/97	Thompson, W. Owen, USEPA
AO FOR RECORD: Removal and Replacement of Leaking			
Transformer PM427	Day, Paul, DTC	12/19/97	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
I 36-Acre Parcel Fill Area, Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort			
idan, Illinois Remedial Investigation/Baseline Disk Assessment for the	QST Environmental Inc.	2/16/98	USAEC
nes and Beach Study Areas of the Sumlis Operable Unit			
Sheridan Illinois (3 volumes see separate report on shelf)	OST Environmental Inc	4/13/08	11 C. Army Environmental Contra
Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Supplemental		1 200	C.C. Alliy Elivioning Center
stigation at Building 172, Surplus Operable Unit, Fort			
	QST Environmental, Inc.	5/1/98	U.S. Army Environmental Center
Report of Limited Soil Investigation, Building 172 (see			
rate report on shelf)	LAW Engineering and Environmental	8/98	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
esion Investigation Report Landfill 6.8.7	- 1	714104	4:14:10 clip circ - 10 4 01
		1011	OSACE - Louisville District
	Environmental Science and Engineering	9/6/94	USACE - Louisville District
ept Design Report, Closure Design, Landfills 6 & 7	Environmental Science and Engineering	10/3/94	USACE - Louisville District
ır-re: Landfill 6 & 7 Storm Sewer Re-Route, Fort Sheridan	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/29/95	
ir-re: Pre-Treatment Requirements for on-site treatment			
to discharge to POTW	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	3/8/95	Reilly, C., - Fort Sheridan BEC
mwater Calculation for Landfills 6 & 7, Fort Sheridan, IL	Environmental Science and Engineering	4/5/95	Fileccia, B US Army Corps of Engineers
Free: For Sheridan Landins o & /; Stormwater	Ingram, VV Environmental Science and		
incauoris	Т	4/13/95	Schultz, M Navy Public Works Center
Vent Liquids Sampling Landrill /	T	5/1/95	USACE - Louisville District
er-re: Excavation of Landfill 6 & 7	Dept	7/13/95	Hopkins Bill - Et Sheridan
Iffil 7 Cover Investigation Report	onmental Science and Engineering	1/1/96	USACE - Louisville District
er-re: Comments New Storm Drain Alignments LF 6 & 7		1/4/96	Reilly, C., - Fort Sheridan BEC
er-re: Comments on Landfills 6 & 7 Interim Draft Focused	Kuhn, Michael F., Lake County Health	000	
ilbility Study (PS)	Dept.	28/8	Keilly, C Fort Shendan BEC
		aluation Report for 1 Study Areas, Fort Cualifiers) Miscellaneous Cualifiers) Surplus OU Cast Environmental Inc. Cualifiers) Surplus OU Cast Environmental Inc. Science Applications International Corp. Surplus and DoD Operable Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Themorandum for sas, Fort Sheridan BEC Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Resparate report on shelf) Resplacement of Leaking Resperable Unit, Fort Cast Environmental Inc. Resperable Unit, Fort Cast Environmental Science and Engineering Resperable Unit, Fort Cast Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Invironmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan Reilly Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan Reilly Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan Reilly Respectute Fort Sheridan Reilly Respectute Reilly Res	aluation Report for 1 Study Areas, Fort Cualiffers) Miscellaneous CST Environmental Inc. Cualiffers) Surplus OU CST Environmental Inc. Surplus and DoD Operable Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Resparate report on shelf) Resplacement of Leaking Resperable Unit, Fort CST Environmental Inc. Resperable Unit, Fort CST Environmental Science and Engineering Response Fort Sheridan BEC Resperable Unit, Fort CST Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC Environmental Science and Engineering Respective Fort Sheridan Reilly Respective Re

· AR LEGEND:

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
4.018	-	Memorandum-re: Responses to Comments on LF 6 & 7 Draft	Lee, MAJ. Arthur P USACHPPM	96/1/9	USACE - Louisville District
4.019	-	Landfills 6 & / Interim Action Final Focused Feasibility Study (See separate report on shelf)	Environmental Science and Engineering	201017	NACE - Designa Dietric
4.020	-	F 6 & 7 Draft Final Focused FS		7/10/96	USACE - Louisville District
5.002	-	Proposed Plan Landfills 6 & 7 Interim Action Decision Document (DD) for Interim Source Control Action for	US Army, Fort Sheridan, IL -BRAC	8/1/96	
		Landfills 6 and 7 at Fort Sheridan, Illinois (See separate report			
5.003	_	on shelf)	Environmental Science and Engineering	4/22/97	USACE - Louisville District
		Final Fort Sheridan Historic District Transfer Parcel Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS), Fort Sheridan Base			
5.003.1	1,3		Diversified Technologies Corp.	May, 199	Fort Sheridan BRAC Environmental Office
		Fort Sheridan			
5.003.1.1	1,3	Fort Sheridan	QST Environmental Inc.	1/30/98	US AEC
700		Proposed Remedial Action Plan Landfills 3 & 4 Operable			
1000			CS Environmental Inc.	187711	US AEC
2002	4		QST Environmental Inc.	10/22/97	US AEC
5,006	ď	oo spidine	RPAC Cleanin Team	11/7/07	الآيا
2000	,	ent on Fort Sheridan	DIVIC Cleaning Lealin	1611111	
5.007	ဗ	s (November	Fort Sheridan BRAC Office	11/25/97	ILEPA
				1	
5.008	9	Surplus Operable Unit, Fort Sheridan, Illinois	Higgins, Col. Roy L., U.S. Army	3/3/98	
		Beach Area Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit: Fort			
5.009	3,5	:	QST Environmental Inc.	6/10/98	USAEC
		Final Decision Document for the Ravines and Beach Area			
5.010	3,5	Study Areas of the Surplus Operable Unit, Fort Sheridan, Illinois QST Environmental Inc.		86/6/6	USAEC
		atter-re-Closure and Environmental Investigations of Eart			
			Torrisi, S.P USATHAMA	2/1/90	Denning. T IL EPA
6.005.1	1,3,4,5	US Army - Fort Sheridan, IL -Superfund/Technical			Walker, L.D Department of the Army
-			tment of the Army		Child, W.C IL EPA
6.007	1,3,4,5	Letter-re: Discussions Regarding Issues At Fort Sheridan	Davis, S.K IL EPA	5/12/93	Glass, COL. J.D US Army Corps of Engineers
acc	1245	Memorandum-re: base Closure, Fort Sheridan, Observations of	V 01 01 - 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	501010	
	7 7 7 7	Lottor m. Docolition of Droblome at East Chariston	10 A F.O.	T	Code M II FDA
	2		ental	T	Caua, M IL ELO
6.013	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Feb. 8-9, 1994	Management Division, Fort McCoy	2/16/94	Fort Sheridan BCT
	-	Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Feb. 17-18,	Balliett, A.L Chief, Environmental		
6.014	1,3,4,5		Management Division, Fort McCoy	2/25/94	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.015	1345	Letter-re: Minutes of Telephone Conversation on 18 Apr 1994, Re: OOAPP	Schafar G.M HS EDA	4/19/94	AGE II - O.S. misebasi.N
1	7			7	Massagail, C.D. ILE C

[•] AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfilis 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
6.018	1.3.4.5	-	Woiciechowski. LTC P.E USAEC	7/11/94	Avers. T IL EPA
		r Agenda Items, Army-IEPA Fort Sheridan Meeting,			
6.020	1,3,4,5		Fendick, R USAEC	8/23/94	Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA
900	7 7 7 7	Letter-re: Comments to Minutes of Nov. 3, 1994, Conference	400 H	44/44/04	On A On Total of the Control of the
1	1345	RRAC Clean in Team (BCT) Meeting Minites - Dec 5-6 1994	Reilly C - Fort Sheridan REC	12/5/04	RRAC Cleanin Team
T	1345	RRAC Cleanin Team (RCT) Meeting Minister Jan 18 1995	Reilly C. Fort Sheridan REC	1/30/95	RRAC Cleaning Team
	12/10	Memorandi Im-re: Operable I Init Strategy Fort Sheridan II	Fort Charidan DCT	2/1/05	Cot Shorida BOT
	0,10	Meliticalitation of the Charles of t	01	20,00	
6.031	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Leam (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Feb. 3, 1995 BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Mar. 1-2, 1995	Lechner, C.A US AEC	2/3/95	Fort Shendan BC I
6.032.1	1345	ī	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/1/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
		Memorandum-re: Landfill 6 & 7 Storm Sewer Re-Route, Fort			
			Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/29/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Mar. 29, 1995	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/29/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
Н	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Apr. 18, 1995	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/18/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
		loded Ordnance (UXO) on U.S. Navy			
9	1	property at Fort Sheridan	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/20/95	Schultz, Mark-Navy Public Works
	1,3,4,5	Summary of Meeting, Illinois EPA	gineering	4/29/95	
2	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - May 1617,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/16/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
		BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - June 20-21,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/20/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
6:039	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - July 18-19,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/18/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Aug. 15-16,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	8/15/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
		BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Aug. 15-16,			
	1,3,4,5	1995 (Revised)	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/10/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Oct. 24-25,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/25/95	Fort Sheridan BCT
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Jan. 9, 1996	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	1/9/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.045	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Feb. 20-21,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	2/20/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.046	1		BRAC Office - Fort Sheridan	96/9/8	
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Mar. 19-20,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/19/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
		BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Apr. 23-24,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/23/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
		BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - May 28-29,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/28/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - June 18, 1996	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/18/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
050.1	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - July 24, 1996	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/24/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.050.2	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - August 22,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	8/22/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
מסים	72.4		Doilly C. Fort Charidan BEC	90/80/8	Fort Sheridan BCT
	2,1,0,1	anup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - September 25-		20070	
6.052	1.3.4.5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	9/22/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
Γ		eanup Team (BCT) Updated Meeting Minutes -			
6.053	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/23/96	Fort Sheridan BCT
0.054	1045	ovember 20-	Cla sobject Street Chairman	11/20/08	TO achieve DOT
	0,4,0,1	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - December 18-	Compt Constitution		
6.055	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	12/18/96	12/18/96 Fort Sheridan BCT
0.4707.04.	,				

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	PRECIDIENT
		C Cleanup Team		1	
6.056	1,3,4,5	1997	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	1/22/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.057	1245	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - February 26-27, 1997		9	
20.0	2,1,0,1	Clean in Team (BCT) Meeting Minites - March 26.27	Rellly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	78/97/7	Fort Sheridan BCI
6.058	1,3,4,5	1997	Reilly C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/26/97	Fort Sharidan BCT
6.059	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - April 23-24,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/23/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.060	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - May 28-29.	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/28/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.061	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - June 18-19.	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/19/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.062	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - July 23, 1997	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/23/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.063	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - August 27,	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	8/27/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
		Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - September 24,			
6.064	1,3,4,5	1997		9/24/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.065	1,3,4,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - October 22,		10/22/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
990.9	1,3,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Dec 5, 1997		12/5/97	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.067	1,3,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - Feb 4, 1998		2/4/98	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.068	1,3,5	8		3/24/98	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.069	1,3,5	BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) Meeting Minutes - April 29, 1998		4/29/98	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.070	1,3,5			5/28/98	Fort Sheridan BCT
6.071	1,3,5	June 25, 1998		6/25/98	Fort Sheridan BCT
7.001	-		-PA	277777	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.002	-			3/16/77	Simpson, LTC US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.003	-		J.F IL EPA	12/28/77	Simpson, LTC US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.004	-			2/28/78	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.005	-		Petrilli, J.F IL EPA	3/14/78	Simpson, LTC, US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.006	-	_	Wengrow, R IL EPA	5/23/78	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.007	1		y, K.P IL EPA	6/6/78	Simpson - LTC , US Army- Fort Sheridan
7.009	-	-		1/12/79	US Army - Fort Sheridan
		Memorandum-re: Inspection of Fort Sheridan and Discussion of			
7.010	-	Permit and Closure Requirements	Bechley, K.P IL EPA	1/19/79	Division File
					Franklin, LTC W.H. Jr., US Army - Fort Sheridan,
7.011	-	Letter-re: Inspection of Solid Waste Disposal Facility	Bechley, K.P IL EPA	1/30/79	Director of Facilities Engineering
			Franklin, LTC W.H. Jr., US Army - Fort		
7 042	•		rector of Facilities		
710.		Application for Permit to Operate a Solid Waste Management	Engineering	2/28//9	Bechely, K.P., IL EPA
7,013		n n		4/470	*au
			Franklin, LTC W.H. Jr., US Army - Fort		ILEFA
			Sheridan, Director of Facilities		
7.014	_	Letter-re: Permit Application for Wells Ravine Landfill		6/21/79	Smith, S.A., IL EPA
					Franklin, LTC W.H. Jr., US Army - Fort Sheridan,
		Landfill		\neg	Director of Facilities Engineering
7.016	_	\neg	Cavanagh, T.E. Jr IL EPA	12/19/79	Director of Facilities Engineering
7.017	-	Lab Analysis Data from Inspection to Obtain Landfill Operating Permit	Ketchick .1 - Environmental Findinger	4/22/BD	Avere T.G. II EDA
				7	100, 100, 110, 110, 110, 110, 110, 110,

[•] AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	BECIDIENT
7.018	-	Inspection Report, Solid Waste Landfill, Fort Sheridan	JAS, IL EPA	6/11/80	Ketchik, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.019	-	Letter-re: Permit for Wells Ravine Landfill Granted	Cavanadh. T.E. Jr IL EPA	6756/80	Franklin, LTC W.H. Jr., US Army - Fort Sheridan, Director of Eacilities Engineering
7.020	1	Inspection Report, Solid Waste Landfill, Fort Sheridan	IL EPA	12/23/80	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7 024	•	Letter-re: Failure to Submit Groundwater Sampling Results for			
7 023		Inspection Report Solid Weste Landfill Fort Sheridan	PISKIN, K IL EPA	3/4/81	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.024		Inspection Report, Solid Waste Landfill, Fort Sheridan	Shane D - II EDA	12/07/6	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.025	-	Inspection Report, Solid Waste Landfill, Fort Sheridan	II FPA	7/20/81	10 Army East Charles
7.026	1		IL EPA	9/22/81	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.027	-		Evans, J IL EPA	11/6/81	Ketchik, J US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.028	-	Letter-re: Inspection of Landfill	Bechley, K.P IL EPA	12/30/81	Ketchik, J US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.029	-	esults	Nechvatal, M.F IL EPA	5/28/82	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.030	-		IL EPA	6/21/82	US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.031	-		Nechvatal, M.F IL EPA	8/24/83	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.032	-		Haney, M.A., IL EPA	11/3/83	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.033		\neg	Haney, M.A., IL EPA	2/7/84	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7.034	_	Letter-re: Non-Compliance of the Monitoring Program	Haney, M.A., IL EPA	9/19/84	Gerdes, J., US Army - Fort Sheridan
7 036					
7.030	_			3/5/85	Dean, LTC D.A., Director of Facilities Engineering
1		 Initiation of Modification of Groundwater Monitoring 	Dean, LTC D.A Director of Engineering		
7:03/		System	and Housing	4/3/85	Davis, S., IL EPA
7.038	-	Letter-re: Groundwater Sampling Using Leachate at Landfill	Brill, J.S., Director of Engineering and Housing 11S Army Eart Sheridan	516/96	L DA
		Program on	Todalig, of Alliy For Original	00/0/0	nailey, M., IL EPA
-	-		- DEH	4/81-6/86	Piskin, R., IL EPA
7.039	-		Marvel, T.J IL EPA	4/14/88	US Army Fort Sheridan
7 040		Memorandum-re: Landfill Closure Certification Inspection for			
	1345	Opt Shoridan	Marvel, I.J IL EPA	7	Savage, G., IL EPA
T	212	Carried Section 1	Doyle, J.M IL EPA	88/07/9	Talbot, D.L., LTC - Fort Sheridan
7.042	-		Talbott LTC D.L DEH	6/21/88	Saperse G D II EDA
7 043	•	um-re: Current Status of Monitoring Requirements			
		IO CALICIE	-	12/8/88	Division File
7.044.1.1	-	Letter-re: Current Actions taken for Closure of Landfill 7	Kelliy, CBEC, and Schultz, Mark - Navy PWC	11/28/95	Kallis, Chris - IL EPA
4		Management of the Association of			
			\neg	9/29/89	Den, Arnold - USEPA, Region 9
_	-	g Landfill 7	Schultz, Mark - U.S. Navy Public Works Center	3/31/95	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC
	_	pling Landfill 7	Reilly, C., Fort Sheridan BEC	П	Schulz, Mark - U.S. Navy Public Works
က			A USACE	6/12/95	Saltzman, Rob - Ecology Services, Inc.
8.005.1	-	Final Report Outdoor Sampling Landfill 7	USACHPPM	7/1/95	
9008			Madhows	7/4/05	₹ 10 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 12 - 11 - 12
1					Neilly, C Fort Sheridan DEC

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
8.007		Letter-re: Draft Indoor Air Quality Study and Odor Investigation Report	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/20/95	Schulz Mark - 11.5 Naw Public Works Center
800	-	Memorandum-re: Final Report Outdoor Sampling Landfill 7, July	-	0000	COTICUE, WISTA CO.C. NOVY LUCIDO VVOING COTICO
2000		000 - 101R50	Lee, Maj. Arthur P.	4/30/96	Kelily, C Fort Sheridan BEC
9.002	1,3,4,5	Illinois List of Endangered and Threatened Vertebrate Species	Illinois Department of Conservation	1978	Administrative Order
10.014	345	Ent Charidan Canada Dlan Quanian		, 0, 00, 0	i i
	1245	For Short Engineering Decree For Short Engineering	Johnson Johnson & Koy/Inc.	9/30/94	The Fort Sheridan Joint Planning Committee
10	0,10,1	ract Sheet, Environmental Flogram, Fort Sheridan, Illinois	US AEC	1/6/95	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
.1	1,3,4,5	Fact Sheet: Restoration Advisory Board	US Army Fort Sheridan BRAC Office	Jan. 1995	
10.016	1345	Maeting of the Salidary 17, 1990 restoration Advisory Board	Charles And Annual Continued	101101	Land Charles Described A mediants and
2	21.51.		Johnson P.W Denity Assistant	C8/15/1	King K Ioint Diaming Committee Even this
10.017	3,4,5		Secretary of the Army	2/3/95	Administrator Fort Sheridan
	Π	Summary of the February 21, 1995 Restoration Advisory Board		200	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.019	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/13/95	Members
		ry of the March 28, 1995 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.022	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/11/95	Members
		ry of the April 18, 1995 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.023	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/2/95	Members
		y of the May 16, 1995 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.024	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/6/95	Members
		y or the June Zu, 1995 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.025	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/6/95	Members
000		ory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.020	1,3,4,5	Meeung Pavised Summary of the August 15, 1005 Destaration Advisory	Rellly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	8/2/95	Members
10.007	1045	Š			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
	\neg	a #1- Fort	Kelliy, C Fort Sheridan BEC	GB/9/B	Members
10.028	1,3,4,5		U.S. Army. Fort Sheridan	Fall 1995	
		n Advisory			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.029	1,3,4,5	Board Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/3/95	Members
		Fort Sheridan,			
10.030	1,3,4,5		Sheridan BRAC Office	10/1/95	USAEC
		y of the October 24, 1995. Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.03	1,0,4,0	i meemig	Kellly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	11/10/95	Members
10.032	1,3,4,5		Lakes	11/10/95	
	_	Γ			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.033	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	12/21/95	Members
10 034	7 4 5		S Army East Shoridan	Minter 400E	ц
T	+	of the Ispirary O 1006 Destoration Advisory Desire	O.S. Arrily, rort Sheridan	vvinter 195	0
10.035	1,3,4,5	visory board	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	1/30,96	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board Members
			EFA Environmental Office, Great		
10.036	1,3,4,5	1,3,4,5 Newsletter: Environmental Update	Lakes	2/1/96	
4107.04.	į				

* AR LEGEND:

Draft Administrative Record 10/8/98 Fort Sheridan

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT	_
	2,3	Public Notice-Re: UXO Time Critical Removal Action	Garcia, Josephine	3/25/96		_
	2,5	Fact Sheet: Ordnance Removal at Fort Sheridan, IL	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/26/96	Local Residents	-
10.039	2,5	Firing Range	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	3/26/96		
10.040	1,3,4,5	Summary of the February 20, 1996 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting		7200	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
		Quarterly Newsletter: Environmental Update, Issue #3 - Fort	Comy, C Fut Stiertuan DEC	4/2/36	Members	-,-
10.041	1,3,4,5	Sheridan	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	Spring 1996	92	
10.042	1,3,4,5	Advisory Board Meeting	Reilly C - Fort Sheriden BEC	470706	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	,
	1	Summary of the April 23, 1996 Restoration Advisory Board		413130	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
10.043	1,3,4,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/16/96	Members	
10.044	1,3,4,5	Summary of the May 28, 1996 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/10/96	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board Members	
10.045		Fact Sheet: Excavation Alternative - Landfills 6 & 7 Interim Action	U.S. Army - Fort Sheridan	July 1996		
					Rooney, M Highwood City Administrator, Limardi,	
10.046	-	7	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/8/96	D Highland Park City Manager; Kiely, R Lake	
10.047	7245	y of the June 18, 1996 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
	0,4,0,	Wiedung Co. 151.	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/11/96	Members	
0		Pittic Notice A	U.S. Army - Fort Sheridan	Aug. 96		_
10.049	_	lan/Comment	U.S. Army Fort Sheridan	97706		
		blic Meeting-re: LF 6 & 7 Preferred		00000		_
10.050			Sonntag Reporting Service, Ltd.	8/21/96		
10.051	1345	or the July 24, 1996. Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
	_		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	9/4/96	Members	
		Summary of the Sentember 25, 1006, Destruction Advisory	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	96/2/6		
10.055	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/15/96	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board Members	
		y of the October 23, 1996 Restoration Advisory Board		$\overline{}$	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
900.01	1,3,4,5	-	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	11/11/96	Members	
10.057	1,3,4,5	10L - +# 9	U.S. Army Fort Sheridan	Mov 1006		
		Advisory		300	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
10.058	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	12/9/96	Members	
10.059	1345	36 Restoration Advisory			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
T		Summary of the January 22 1997 Restoration Advisory Board	Kellly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	1/8/97	Members	
10.060	1,3,4,5		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	2/5/97	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board Members	
		dvisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board	
10.00	1,3,4,5	Meeting Oliarterly Newslatter: Environmental Indate Icano #6 East	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	3/17/97	Members	
10.061.5 1,	1,3,4,5	101-04	U.S. Armv. Fort Sheridan	Mar 1997		
				Widi . 1997		

Draft Administrative Record 10/8/98 Fort Sheridan

DOC NO	AR*	DOCUMENT TITLE	AUTHOR	DATE	RECIPIENT
		Summary of the March 26, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.062	1,3,4,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	4/11/97	Members
10.063	1,3,4,5	Summary of the April 23, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/21/97	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board Members
10.064	1345	Summary of the May 28, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting	Deille Cheridan BEC	7,0/0/7	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
	4.5	Public Notice-Re: Announcement of Landfill 3 & 4 Proposed	II.S. Army Fort Sheridan	701107	Mellibers
				101171	
10.066	1	6&7	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	8/18/97	
100		Fact Sheet: Cleanup Action at Landfills 6 & 7 Initial			
10.06/	-	Construction Activities	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	Aug. 1997	_
10.068	1345	Summary of the July 23, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting	Cla cepirado toda.	70/8//8	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
	21.121	Quarterly Newsletter: Environmental Update, Issue #6 - Fort	Selly, C 1 of Creeker DEC	100.10	
10.069	1,3,4,5	Sheridan	U.S. Army. Fort Sheridan	Sept. 1997	
		Summary of the August 27, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.070	1,3,4,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	9/15/97	Members
		Summary of the September 24, 1997 Restoration Advisory			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.071	1,3,5	Board Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	10/15/97	Members
_		Public Notice-Re: Cleanup Decision for Fort Sheridan Landfills			
10.072	4	3&4	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	11/10/97	
		Fact Sheet: Former Coal Storage Area and Blacksmith's Shop			
10.073	3	Proposed Cleanup Actions	U.S. Army, Fort Sheridan	Nov. 1997	_
		Summary of the October 22, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.074	3		Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	11/19/97	Members
10.075	က	Public Notice-Re: Cleanup Proposal for Former Coal Storage Area and Blacksmith's Shop	U.S. Armv. Fort Sheridan	11/26/97	
		Summary of the December 4, 1997 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.076	3,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	1/12/98	Members
7007	4	Summary of the February 4, 1998 Restoration Advisory Board		00,770	Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
T		Simmary of the March 24, 1998 Postoration Advisory Board	Aeilly, C roft sheridan DEC	3/4/30	Members
10.078	1,3,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	5/28/98	For Shelidan Restoration Advisory Board Members
		Summary of the May 28, 1998 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.078.1	1,3,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	6/10/98	Members
10.079	3,5	'n	U.S. Army. Fort Sheridan	6/11/98	
		y of the June 17, 1998 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.080	1,3,5	Meeting	Reilly, C Fort Sheridan BEC	7/14/98	Members
		Summary of the July 21, 1998 Restoration Advisory Board			Fort Sheridan Restoration Advisory Board
10.001	0,0,1	Weeung	Kelliy, C Fort Sheridan BEC	8/8/8	Members
	1345	Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CFRCLA (Interim Final)	r and Remedial	10/1/RB	
11.001	_	Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA (Interim Final)	Response, US EPA	10/1/88	

Significant Differences Significant Differences (Interim Final) Influence of Casing M Water CERCLA Site Dischar CERCLA Site Dischar CERCLA Site Dischar Technical Policy #14: Guide to Developing S Contingency Remedy Executive Order1258 Superfund Information Guidance for Establis Certification of Adopte Administrative Proced a Class II Groundwatt Soil Volatile Sampling Presumptive Remedy Region IX Preliminary 1994 Concurrence in the Id Concurrence in the Id Under CERCLA Sectic Memorandum-re: Rey CERCLA Sites and Re Soil Remediation Meth Letter-re: Illinois Regis Illinois Administrative (Application of the CER Remedy to Military Lan nee documents, statut documents are public																											agement						
Bocourse on Preparing Supertund Decision. Explanation of Significant Differant Decision Decuments. The Proposed Plan, The Record of Decision, Explanation of Significant Differant Differa	RECIPIENT				R			Fendick, R., USATHAMA												US AEC					× 40 0	CS CLA - Regional Administrators I-A	Balliett, A.L Chief, Environmental Man	Division, Fort McCov					
Documents Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Docure Significant Differences, The Record of Decision, Explanati Significant Differences, The Record of Decision Ar (Interim Final) Influence of Casing Materials on Trace-Level chemy (Water CERCLA Site Discharges to POTWs-Guidance M Technical Policy #14: Soil Volatile Sampling Procestic Developing Superfund No Action, Interim Contingency Remedy RODs Executive Order12580, Superfund Implementation Superfund Information Repositories and Administrative Procedure #26 - Procedure for Detta a Class II Groundwater Soil Volatile Sampling the Basis for Cleanup O Certification of Adopted Amendments Administrative Procedure #26 - Procedure for Detta a Class II Groundwater Soil Volatile Sampling Procedures Presumptive Remedy for CERCLA Municipal Land Region IX Preliminary Remediation of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate CERCLA Section #11-Monitor Well Design Memorandium-re: Revised Interim Soil Lead Guidance Soil Remediation Methodology Objectives Letter-re: Illinois Register reflecting promulgated Cillinois Administrative Code (IAC) 620 Regulations Application of the CERCLA Municipal Landfill Presidenedly to Military Landfills (Interim Guidance) Remedy to Military Landfills (Interim Guidance)	DATE		2/89		Spring 199	Aug. 1990				April 1991	10/22/91	Aug. 1992	Dec. 1992	2/1/93		3/24/93	4/15/93	Sept. 1993		2/1/94			4/19/94	12/14/93	774.04	11/14/94		11/23/94	Apr. 1996	-	e Index.		
Documents Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Docure Significant Differences, The Record of Decision, Explanati Significant Differences, The Record of Decision Ar (Interim Final) Influence of Casing Materials on Trace-Level chemy (Water CERCLA Site Discharges to POTWs-Guidance M Technical Policy #14: Soil Volatile Sampling Procestic Developing Superfund No Action, Interim Contingency Remedy RODs Executive Order12580, Superfund Implementation Superfund Information Repositories and Administrative Procedure #26 - Procedure for Detta a Class II Groundwater Soil Volatile Sampling the Basis for Cleanup O Certification of Adopted Amendments Administrative Procedure #26 - Procedure for Detta a Class II Groundwater Soil Volatile Sampling Procedures Presumptive Remedy for CERCLA Municipal Land Region IX Preliminary Remediation of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminate CERCLA Section #11-Monitor Well Design Memorandium-re: Revised Interim Soil Lead Guidance Soil Remediation Methodology Objectives Letter-re: Illinois Register reflecting promulgated Cillinois Administrative Code (IAC) 620 Regulations Application of the CERCLA Municipal Landfill Presidenedly to Military Landfills (Interim Guidance) Remedy to Military Landfills (Interim Guidance)	AUTHOR	Office of Emergency and Remedial	Response, US EPA		Parker, L.V.; A.D. Hewitt; T.F. Jenkins	US EPA	Davis, S.; Otto, S.; Reside, G.; Rowe,	G.T.; Tin, A.; -IL EPA		US EPA	Office of the President	US EPA	IL EPA	Illinois Dept. of Public Health		Liss, K.; Young, H.; - IL EPA	IL EPA	US EPA		US EPA			Laws, E.P.; - US EPA	US EPA	AGE 21. G E 21.	IL EPA		Nussbaum, S.D IL EPA	US EPA		sources mignt not be listed separately in the	Sublic libraries.	the not be listed concernately in the
AR* 1,3,4,5		ment	(Interim Final)	Influence of Casing Materials on Trace-Level chemical in Well		anual		lechnical Policy #14: Soil Volatile Sampling Procedures	nd No Action, Interim Action, and			Superfund Information Repositories and Administrative Records	Guidance for Establishing the Basis for Cleanup Objectives	1,3,4,5 Certification of Adopted Amendments	Administrative Procedure #26 - Procedure for Determination of	a Class II Groundwater	Soil Volatile Sampling Procedures	A Municipal Landfill Sites	Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs)	1994	Memorandum-re: Military Base Closures, Guidance on EPA	Concurrence in the Identification of Uncontaminated Parcels	under CERCLA Section 120 (h) (4)	Administrative Procedure #11-Monitor Well Design Criteria	for	Soil Remediation Methodology Objectives	Letter-re: Illinois Register reflecting promulgated Changes to 35	Illinois Administrative Code (IAC) 620 Regulations				e documents are publicly available unougn IEPA, USEPA and/or	icly available technical literature listed as bibliographic sources mic
Gu Than 1,3 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4,4 4	DOC NO		11.002		11.003	11.006	100	17.00/		1.009	11.010	11.012	11.013	11.014		11.015	11.016	11.016.1		11.018			11.019	11.020	11 021	11.023		11.024	11.025	Dioseo Moto.	2000		

[•] AR LEGEND:
1 = Department of Defense Operable Unit (OU)
2 = Unexploded Ordnance Time Critical Removal Action (Final AR)
3 = Surplus OU
4=Landfills 3 4 OU (Final AR)
5=Ravines and Beach Study Areas (Final AR)


Appendix B

Letters of Support Agency Concurrence



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590



REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF

SRF-5J

August 20, 1998

Colleen Reilly, BRAC Environmental Coordinator Ft. Sheridan BRAC Office 3155 Blackhawk Drive, Suite 17 Ft. Sheridan, IL 60037-1289

RE: Draft Decision Document for the Ravines and Beach Study Areas of The Surplus Operable Unit, Ft. Sheridan, IL QST, Environmental, Inc., July 22, 1998

Dear Ms. Reilly:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has completed its review of the subject document. The Agency concurs with the Army's decision that based upon available information and the nine evalution criteria presented in the National Oil and Hazardous Materials Pollution Contingeny Plan (The NCP), no remdial action is required in this Operable Unit.

Please call me at 312 886-4843 if you have any questions.

Sincerely yours,

W. Owen Thompson

BRAC Remedial Project Manager

cc: Paul Lake, IEPA



Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Mary A. Gade, Director

(217) 785-7728 (FAX) 782-3258

August 21, 1998

Ms. Colleen Reilly Fort Sheridan BRAC Office 3155 Blackhawk Drive Suite 17 Fort Sheridan, IL 60037-1289

Re:

Draft Decision Document for the

Ravines and Beach Study Areas,

Surplus Operable Unit

0970555001/Lake Fort Sheridan (BRAC) Superfund/Technical

Dear Ms. Reilly:

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA") received the document referenced above on July 23, 1998. The Illinois EPA has reviewed the Draft Decision Document and all supporting technical information. The Illinois EPA concurs with the Army's determination that No Response Action is necessary for the Ravines and Beach Area Study Areas on the Surplus Operable Unit.

Should you have any questions regarding this information, please do not hesitate to contact me at (217) 785-7728.

Sincerely,

Paul T. Lake, Remedial Project Manager Remedial Project Management Section

Bureau of Land

PTL CESTATOrtsh\ravbeach.ddd

cc:

Owen Thompson, USEPA (HSRL-5J)

Ron Jackson, USAEC

Jenny Berman Ross, US Navy - EFA Midwest

Mona Reints, US Army Reserve Chris Karem, USACE-Louisville

Deborah McKinley, QST

Chris Manikas, SAIC